Causal Interaction Chronometrics

Darío Ricardo De Santiago Sasinka
Affiliation: https://www.frc.utn.edu.ar
ORCID: 0000-0002-7684-9532
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18615665

February 2026

Abstract

This article explores the relationship between quantum limitations and causal interactions within the relativistic framework. We propose an extension of the Lorentz Transformation, called the *Operational Lorentz Transformation (OLT)*, which incorporates an inherent minimum time limit, associated with the Planck time T_P. We argue that this limit not only affects the precision of clock synchronization and event measurement, but also suggests an operational interpretation of the arrow of time, derived from the accumulation of uncertainties in physical interactions. This approach opens new perspectives on causality, time, and the fundamental structure of reality.

1 Introduction

The precise measurement of time and space is one of the fundamental pillars of modern physics. In quantum mechanics, the Heisenberg uncertainty principle [1] imposes fundamental operational limits on the precision of measurements, while general relativity describes clock synchronization and event determination with great precision. However, in quantum theory, Heisenberg uncertainty introduces an inherent limitation in the measurements of positions and momenta, in contrast to general relativity, which idealizes interactions as point-like events, without incorporating an intrinsic minimum uncertainty in time or space.

This work addresses how the incorporation of quantum uncertainty can modify the interpretation of causal interactions within the relativistic framework. The concept of an irreducible temporal limit is introduced, given by

$$T_P = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar G}{c^5}}, \tag{1}$$

which implies that any measurement involving physical signals must confront a fundamental *temporal toll*, affecting both the precision of measurements and Lorentz transformations in inertial systems.

The aim of this article is to analyze how this limitation, emerging from quantum mechanics, impacts causal interactions within general relativity. In this context, the **Operational Lorentz Transformation (OLT)** is presented, incorporating a term associated with this irreducible temporal limit, in order to provide a better understanding of the operational restrictions affecting physical measurements.

Although the accumulation of temporal uncertainty gives rise to what could be interpreted as an *operational arrow of time*, this phenomenon arises inevitably from causal interaction and the accumulation of uncertainties along causal chains. The main focus of this work lies in how quantum limitations affect causal measurements and relativistic transformations.

2 Fundamental limit in relativistic measurements

Every measurement of spacetime coordinates requires information exchange via physical signals. Within the relativistic framework, this signal is a photon traveling at speed c. To measure the position x of an event with precision Δx, the Heisenberg uncertainty principle [1] imposes:

$$\Delta p \sim \frac{\hbar}{\Delta x} \tag{2}$$

The corresponding energy of the photon is:

$$E_\gamma = pc \sim \frac{\hbar c}{\Delta x} \tag{3}$$

If $\Delta x \to 0$, then $E_\gamma \to \infty$. However, a photon with energy E_γ generates a gravitational field whose Schwarzschild radius is:

$$R_s \sim \frac{G E_\gamma}{c^4} \tag{4}$$

When E_γ reaches the Planck energy [2] $E_P = \sqrt{\hbar c^5/G}$, it satisfies:

$$R_s \sim \frac{\hbar c}{E_P} \sim L_P \tag{5}$$

where $L_P = \sqrt{\hbar G/c^3}$ is the Planck length. This crossing of scales indicates that it is not physically possible to use a photon with $E_\gamma > E_P$ to measure coordinates, suggesting the existence of a fundamental operational limit:

$$\boxed{\Delta x > L_P, \quad \Delta t > T_P} \tag{6}$$

No known operational procedure allows resolving distances smaller than L_P without gravitational collapse.

3 Operational Postulate of Causal Interaction Chronometrics (OPCIC)

From the preceding analysis, it follows that every causal interaction (emission, absorption, detection, or measurement) has an intrinsic temporal uncertainty whose lower bound is given by:

$$\boxed{\delta\tau_{\text{int}} > T_P = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar G}{c^5}} > 0}. \tag{7}$$

This bound emerges from the combination of:

- The Heisenberg uncertainty principle: $\Delta E \cdot \delta t \gtrsim \hbar$
- The gravitational energy limit: $E_\gamma \lesssim E_P$

The exact uncertainty depends on the characteristic energy of the interaction:

$$\delta\tau_{\text{int}} \sim \max\left\{T_P, \frac{\hbar}{2\Delta E_{\text{int}}}\right\}. \tag{8}$$

For interactions involving energies $\Delta E \sim E_P$ the minimum $\delta\tau \sim T_P$ is reached. For lower energies, $\delta\tau > T_P$. This is not an epistemological limit, but a structural one: improving precision requires increasing ΔE, but the gravitational limit at E_P establishes a fundamental bound.

4 Operational Lorentz Transformation (OLT)

Standard Lorentz transformations relate ideal coordinates between inertial systems:

$$x' = \gamma(x - vt), \qquad t' = \gamma\left(t - \frac{vx}{c^2}\right). \tag{9}$$

However, every physical measurement is subject to the fundamental operational limitations $\Delta x > L_P$ and $\Delta t > T_P$. Consequently, the *measured* coordinates differ from the ideal coordinates obtained via Lorentz transformations by irreducible operational terms:

$$x'_{\text{meas}} = \gamma(x - vt) + \delta x, \qquad \delta x > L_P, \tag{10}$$

$$t'_{\text{meas}} = \gamma\left(t - \frac{vx}{c^2}\right) + \delta t, \qquad \delta t > T_P. \tag{11}$$

These terms do not modify the ideal Lorentz transformations nor introduce a new spacetime geometry, but rather make explicit the universal operational limits associated with every physical measurement or causal interaction.

5 Einstein synchronization and causal chain

5.1 The limit in clock synchronization

Einstein [3], in the operational formulation of special relativity, proposed synchronizing two clocks at rest by exchanging light signals. If a ray is emitted from clock A at t_A, reflected at B at t_B, and received back at A at t'_A, it is defined:

$$t_B = t_A + \frac{1}{2}(t'_A - t_A). \tag{12}$$

This procedure tacitly assumes that emission, reflection, and detection are instantaneous events. However, each of these stages is a *causal interaction* subject to the OPCIC:

- Emission at A: $\delta t_{\text{emis}} > \hbar/(2E_\gamma)$
- Reflection at B: $\delta t_{\text{refl}} > \hbar/(2E_\gamma)$
- Detection at A: $\delta t_{\text{det}} > \hbar/(2E_\gamma)$

The total accumulated uncertainty is:

$$\Delta t_{\text{sync}} > \frac{3\hbar}{2E_\gamma}. \tag{13}$$

Minimizing this uncertainty requires maximizing E_γ, but the gravitational limit imposes $E_\gamma \lesssim E_P$. Therefore, a fundamental bound exists:

$$\boxed{\Delta t_{\text{sync}}^{(\min)} \gtrsim \frac{3\hbar}{2E_P} \sim T_P}. \tag{14}$$

Consequence: It is not possible to synchronize two clocks with precision better than T_P. Simultaneity, already relative to the observer according to Einstein, additionally acquires an irreducible operational uncertainty on the order of the Planck time.

5.2 Causal chain and irreversible accumulation

Although δt is initially introduced as a temporal uncertainty, its strictly positive character and its association with a physical causal interaction transform it into an irreducible operational cost. Unlike statistical uncertainties, which can be canceled or reduced by averaging, each $\delta t > T_P$ represents an effective minimum duration during which a causal interaction must occur. In this sense, δt acts as a *minimum causal toll* that must be paid for physical causality to take place.

A sequence of causally connected events $E_1 \to E_2 \to \cdots \to E_N$ constitutes a *causal chain*. Each arrow represents an interaction with uncertainty $\delta t_k > T_P$. The total temporal uncertainty accumulates:

$$\Delta t_{\text{chain}} = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \delta t_k > (N-1)T_P. \tag{15}$$

Given that interactions are causally sequential and independent, a conservative upper bound is adopted via linear summation of uncertainties. This accumulation is strictly positive and is not reversed under time reversal. Attempting to reverse the chain generates a new sequence of uncertainties $\delta t'_k > T_P$, so that the complete cycle $E_1 \to \cdots \to E_N \to \cdots \to E_1$ satisfies:

$$\Delta t_{\text{cycle}} > 2(N-1)T_P > 0. \tag{16}$$

Even if the same dynamical configuration is restored, operationally a minimum positive time has elapsed. Temporal irreversibility is thus operationally characterized by the accumulation of uncertainties in every causal chain.

6 Properties of the OLT

6.1 Irreducible temporal positivity

The term $\delta t > T_P > 0$ is strictly positive. Under time reversal $t \to -t$:

- The Lorentz term γt changes sign (dynamically reversible).
- The operational term δt **does not change sign** (always positive).

This asymmetry is irreducible and emerges from the act of measuring, not from the dynamics of the equations.

6.2 Operational irreversibility

As shown in the previous section, every causal chain accumulates a temporal uncertainty $\Delta t_{\text{chain}} > (N-1)T_P > 0$. Consider a process $A \to B$ involving N_1 interactions. Attempting to reverse it by inverting all velocities generates a new process $B \to A'$ with N_2 additional interactions. The total time satisfies:

$$\Delta t_{\text{total}} > (N_1 + N_2)T_P > 0. \tag{17}$$

No exact temporal return exists in the operational sense. An inevitable operational arrow of time is obtained through the positive accumulation of temporal uncertainties, not from special initial conditions or statistical considerations.

6.3 Preservation of Lorentz invariance

The Operational Lorentz Transformation does not modify the ideal Lorentz transformations nor introduce new geometric structures in spacetime. The fundamental dynamical equations retain their standard relativistic form in every inertial system.

The operational limits $\delta x > L_P$ and $\delta t > T_P$ do not represent spacetime coordinates nor transform as such, but rather universal bounds associated with the physical act of measurement or causal interaction. Consequently, these limits are identical for all inertial observers and compatible with the principle of relativity.

Lorentz invariance is preserved because the relations between ideal coordinates remain exact, while the operational terms express universal physical constraints independent of the reference frame.

7 Verification and falsifiability

The presented framework is falsifiable in principle. It would be refuted if the following were observed:

1. A causal interaction with $\delta t < T_P$.
2. A position measurement with $\Delta x < L_P$ in high-energy regimes ($E \sim E_P$).
3. Exact reversal of a long causal cascade with no net temporal cost.

In the low-energy limit ($E \ll E_P$), the standard uncertainty principle $\Delta x \cdot \Delta p \geq \hbar/2$ is recovered.

8 Discussion

The OPCIC and the OLT provide an operational foundation for the arrow of time independent of statistical [4] or cosmological arguments. Unlike approaches postulating irreversibility through special initial conditions, here temporal asymmetry emerges from operational limits in interactions.

This perspective aligns with contemporary views emphasizing the relational nature of time [5], but adds a novel ingredient: a minimum causal cost T_P that breaks time symmetry at a fundamental level.

9 Conclusions

This work has shown that all causal interactions, mediated by physical signals, are subject to fundamental operational limits of time and space ($\delta t > T_P$, $\delta x > L_P$). These limits are not mere epistemological uncertainties, but causal tolls inherent to measurement and physical interaction. The Operational Lorentz Transformation (OLT) reflects how measured coordinates must incorporate these limits, thereby establishing an arrow of time not dependent on initial conditions or statistics, but on the accumulation of uncertainties in interactions. This imposes restrictions on clock synchronization and the precise measurement of events, suggesting that causality has an irreducible temporal cost. The question remains open as to whether all interactions, even at extreme scales, respect these fundamental limits, which could have profound implications for our understanding of the universe and the structure of reality.

References

[1] Werner Heisenberg. Über den anschaulichen inhalt der quantentheoretischen kinematik und mechanik. *Zeitschrift für Physik*, 43:172–198, 1927.

[2] Max Planck. Zur theorie des gesetzes der energieverteilung im normalspektrum. *Verhandlungen der Deutschen Physikalischen Gesellschaft*, 2:237, 1900.

[3] Albert Einstein. Zur elektrodynamik bewegter körper. *Annalen der Physik*, 322(10):891–921, 1905.

[4] Johann Loschmidt. Über den zustand des wärmegleichgewichtes eines systems von körpern. *Wiener Berichte*, 73:128–142, 1876.

[5] Carlo Rovelli. *The Order of Time*. Penguin Books, 2018.

AI

This article was assisted by AI tools for style correction, technical translation, and LaTeX coding, under the supervision and final validation of the author.

License:

Creative Commons Attribution
(CC BY 4.0)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank family and friends for their unwavering support

This work is dedicated to my Wife Flavia and Son Elías with all my love.

DaDoDs
68456@metalurgica.frc.utn.edu.ar

"Scientes ubi inveniatis"